DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Civil No. 2011-64
ANDREA M. DEAN, "JOHN DOE," being the unknown heirs of JAMES J. DEAN holding interest in Parcel No. 6-6 Estate Contant, No. 2 Cruz Bay Quarter, St. John, 1 U.S. Virgin Islands, TROPICAL BREEZE DEVELOPMENT, LLC and WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,)))
Defendants.)
WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Cross Claimant,)))
v.)))
JAMES DEAN, ANDREA M. DEAN, "JOHN DOE," being the unknown heirs of JAMES J. DEAN holding interest in Parcel No. 6-6 Estate Contant, No. 2 Cruz Bay Quarter, St. John, U.S. Virgin Islands, TROPICAL BREEZE DEVELOPMENT LLC,))))))))))
Cross Claim Defendants.) -)
WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Counter Claimant,)))
counter craimant,)

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ "JOHN DOE," being the unknown heirs of JAMES J. DEAN holding interest in Parcel No. 6-6 Estate Contant, No. 2 Cruz Bay Quarter, St. John, was voluntarily dismissed by Bank of Nova Scotia on July 4, 2011.

V.

BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA,

Counter Claim Defendant.

TROPICAL BREEZE DEVELOPMENT,
LLC,

Cross Claimant,

V.

WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,

Cross Claim Defendant.

ATTORNEYS:

Matthew J. Duensing, Esq.

Stryker, Duensing, Casner & Dollison St. Thomas, VI

For Bank of Nova Scotia.

Nagesh V. Tammara, Esq.

Smock & Moorehead

Kyle R. Waldner, Esq.

Quintairos, Prieto, Wood & Boyer, P.A. St. Thomas, VI
For Andrea M. Dean.

Benjamin A. Currence, Esq.

Law Offices of Benjamin A. Currence St. Thomas, VI For Tropical Breeze Development, LLC.

Leslie L. Payton, Esq.

The Payton Law Firm
St. Thomas, VI
For Williams Construction Company.

MEMORADUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is the motion to dismiss filed by the plaintiff, the Bank of Nova Scotia ("BNS"). BNS asks this Court to dismiss the counterclaim of Williams Construction Company ("Williams").

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Tropical Breeze Development, LLC ("Tropical") is a limited liability corporation incorporated in the Virgin Islands. James J. Dean is the sole member of Tropical. Tropical is the record owner of property described as:

Parcel No. 6-6, Estate Contant, No. 2 Cruz Bay Quarter, St. John, Virgin Islands, as shown on PWD No. B9-451-T75

(the "Property"). On August 1, 2007, James J. Dean and Andrea M. Dean ("the Deans") borrowed \$1,200,000 from BNS to construct a residence on the Property. The loan was evidenced by a promissory note (the "Note"), which the Deans executed and delivered to BNS on August 1, 2007. Pursuant to the Note, the Deans were to repay BNS in 60 consecutive monthly installments. Simple interest accrued on the loan at the rate of 7.99% each year. The Note permits BNS to accelerate payment due in the event the Deans failed to make timely payments.

The Note was secured by a first priority mortgage of the same date (the "Mortgage"). The Mortgage was executed by

Tropical, by and through James J. Dean as its sole member. The Mortgage was recorded at the Office of the Recorder of Deeds for St. Thomas on August 1, 2007. The Mortgage is attached to the Property. The Mortgage terms give BNS the right to foreclose on the Property in the event of default on the loan. Also on August 1, 2007, Tropical Breeze Development, LLC ("Tropical") executed an unlimited corporate guarantee securing payment of the Note.

Subsequently, the Deans engaged Williams to construct a residence on the Property. The Deans failed to pay Williams. Williams claims the Deans are indebted to it in the amount of \$295,000. Williams further claims it has a lien on the Property in the amount of \$295,000.

The Deans are in default under the terms and conditions of the Note and Mortgage because they failed to pay the principal and interest when due. When the Deans went into default, BNS declared the entire unpaid principal with accrued interest due and payable. The Deans were indebted to BNS in the amount of \$1,258,789.06 as of March 12, 2013. Interest continues to accrue thereafter at the rate of 7.99% until the date of judgment and at the judgment rate thereafter.

BNS filed the instant debt and foreclosure matter against the Deans, Tropical, and Williams, on June 13, 2011. BNS requested relief in the form of a debt judgment, a finding that

Bank of Nova Scotia v. Dean, et al. Civil No. 2011-64 Order Page 5

BNS holds a first priority lien, foreclosure, and a deficiency judgment if necessary.

Thereafter, Williams filed an answer containing a counterclaim against BNS and a crossclaim against the Deans. Williams claimed that it has a construction lien against the Property in the amount of \$295,000. Williams asked the Court to declare that Williams has a co-first priority lien with BNS.

II. DISCUSSION

To survive a motion to dismiss, a claimant must offer "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1974 (2007). A court must ask whether the claim "contain[s] either direct or inferential allegations respecting all the material elements necessary to sustain recovery under some viable legal theory." Id. at 1969. "When deciding a 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, the counterclaim must be read in a light most favorable to the counterclaimant, and all of the factual allegations must be taken as true." Gov't Guarantee Fund of Republic of Finland v. Hyatt Corp., 955 F. Supp. 441, 448 (D.V.I. 1997); citing Ransom v. Marrazzo, 848 F.2d 398, 401 (3d Cir. 1988).

"While a [claim] attacked by a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss does not need detailed factual allegations, a

[claimant's] obligation to provide the 'grounds' of his 'entitlement to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of a cause of action's elements will not do." *Id.* at 1964-65 (internal citations omitted).

Thus, "[t]o survive a motion to dismiss, a . . . [claimant] must allege facts that 'raise a right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that the allegations in the [counterclaim] are true (even if doubtful in fact).'" Victaulic Co. v. Tieman, 499 F.3d 227, 234 (3d Cir. 2007) (quoting Bell Atlantic Corp., 127 S. Ct. at 1965).

The Third Circuit has cautioned that

conclusory or bare-bones allegations will no longer survive a motion to dismiss: threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice. To prevent dismissal, all civil complaints must now set out sufficient factual matter to show that the claim is facially plausible. This then allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. The Supreme Court's ruling in Iqbal emphasizes that a plaintiff must show that the allegations of his or her complaints are plausible.

Fowler v. UPMC Shadyside, 578 F.3d 203, 210 (3d Cir. 2009)

(citing Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677-679; Twombly, 550

U.S. 544, 555 n. 3) (internal citations and quotations omitted).

III. ANALYSIS

Although the Court accepts all factual assertions in Williams's counterclaim as true, the Court is not required to accept Williams's legal conclusions as true.

Williams requests in conclusory fashion that the Court enter judgment "[d]eclaring that the Williams Construction

Company has a co-first priority lien against James Dean, Andrea

M. Dean, and Tropical Breeze Development, LLC, with Bank of Nova

Scotia." To withstand BNS's motion to dismiss, Williams must

make "direct or inferential allegations respecting all the

material elements necessary to sustain recovery under some

viable legal theory." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 127 S. Ct.

1955, 1969 (2007).

In its answer and counterclaim, Williams indicates that it has a lien against the Property. Significantly, Williams has provided no information when it recorded a notice of construction lien on the Property. Without this information, Williams fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted. The deficiency, while significant, is not necessarily fatal, to the extent this deficiency can be cured by amendment. The Court is not convinced that such an amendment would be futile.

The premises considered, it is hereby

ORDERED that BNS's motion to dismiss is DENIED; it is further

Case: 3:11-cv-00064-CVG-RM Document #: 81 Filed: 03/31/14 Page 8 of 8

Bank of Nova Scotia v. Dean, et al. Civil No. 2011-64 Order Page 8

ORDERED that Williams shall, no later than April 14, 2014, amend its counterclaim against BNS; and it is further

ORDERED that, should Williams fail to amend its counterclaim, its counterclaim may be dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

S______CURTIS V. GÓMEZ District Judge